IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

TUESDAY, THE 12TH JUNE 2007 / 22ND JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 23847 of 2006(L)

PETITIONER:

S. ABHILASH KUMAR,
JS/O. LAKSHMANAN, AGED 34 YEARS,
`SREENIKETH', K.P. VALLON ROAD,
KADAVANTHRA P.O., ELAMKULAM VILLAGE,
ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.C.P.PEETHAMBARAN
SRI.N.S.MOHANDAS

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE TAHSILDAR, KUNNATHUNAD TALUK,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

2. THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
THIRUVANIYOOR VILLAGE, KUNNATHUNAD TALUK,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

* ADDL. R3 SAGAR P.G., AGED 28, S/O.P.K.GOPALAKRISHNAN,
POLACHIRACKAL HOUSE, EDAPPALLY P.O., COCHIN-24,
REPRESENTED BY P.A.HOLDER K.S.CHANDINI
W/O.P.K.GOPALAKRISHNAN, POLACHIRACKAL HOUSE
EDAPPALLY P.O.(IMPLEADED AS PER ORDER DT 4.6.07 IN I.A.4/07)

BY ADV. SRI.K.JANARDHANAN
SRI.MANURAJ. K.J
G.P. SMT.ANU SIVARAMAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 12/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

P1 SALE CERTIFICATE REGISTERED BEFORE THE SUB REGISTRAR, PUTHENCRUZ.

P2 APPLICATION IN FORM NO.1 FORWARDED TO R2 BY SRO, PUTHENCRUZ.

P3 ASSIGNMENT DEED OF SRO, PUTHENCRUZ.

P4 COVERING LETTER DT 1.6.07 TO R2.

P5 APPLICATION UNDER RULE 4 FOR TRANSFERRING THE REGISTRY IN RESPECT OF THE PROPERTY COVERED BY EXT.P3 PRODUCED GIVEN TO R2.

TRUE COPY

P.A. TO JUDGE

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.P.(C)NO. 23847 OF 2006

DATED THIS THE 12th DAY OF JUNE, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has applied for mutation of certain properties covered by Exts.P1 and P3. The petitioner's allegation is that when Ext.P2 application was submitted the same was returned without entertaining the same. The petitioner submits that he has got a right to get his application for mutation considered in accordance with Transfer of Registry Rules.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as also the learned Government pleader.

3. In the facts and circumstances of the case, there would be a direction to the respondents to accept the application for mutation submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders thereon in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

Acd